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## Abstract

In practice, items are not always allocated once and for all, but often repeatedly. For example, when the items are recurring chores to distribute in a household. Motivated by this, we initiate the study of the repeated fair division of indivisible items.

## Applications

- Fairly distributing household chores between a couple
- Allocating teaching duties to professors over the semesters
- Granting employees daily access to a common infrastructure


## Repetition: Why Bother?

In the one-shot setting, a Proportional (let alone Envy-Free) and Pareto-Optimal allocation may not exist. Our main goal:
"Can we guarantee better fairness and efficiency properties by looking at the repeated allocation of items?"

## Main Idea

Suppose that we want to allocate a single item $\Delta$ between two agents, $\therefore$ and $\stackrel{\text {. Problem: }}{ }$


## Axioms

An axiom can be satisfied overall (while looking globally at the whole bundle, over all time-steps) or per round (if it is satisfied individually by all time-steps).

- Envy-freeness (EF): No agent prefers someone else's bundle
- Envy-freeness up to one item (EF1): If an agent envies some other agent, we can eliminate envy by removing one item from the bundle of one of the two agents
- Proportionality (PR): Each agent receives at least $1 / n$ of their evaluation of the whole set of items
- Pareto-optimality ( PO ): There is no reallocation that is strictly better for some agents, and worse for none


## Results: General Case

Under certain conditions, envy-freeness is always achievable:
If $k$ is a multiple of $n$, an overall EF allocation always exists.
To achieve this, we can rotate the items at each time-step, e.g.:

|  | $\bullet$ | $\bullet$ | $\vdots$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| day 1 | $\Delta$ | $\square$ | $\star$ |
| day 2 | $\star$ | $\Delta$ | $\square$ |
| day 3 | $\square$ | $\star$ | $\Delta$ |

What about efficiency? Even if $k$ is a multiple of $n$, an overall EF and PO allocation might not exist. Still:

> | If $k$ is a multiple of $n$, an overall $P R$ and PO allocation always |
| :---: |
| exists. |

## Results: Two-agent Case

For two agents, we have stronger fairness guarantees:
For two agents, if $k$ is even, an overall EF and PO allocation always exists.

What about the individual time-steps? We cannot have envy-freeness in every round. However:

For two agents, if $k$ is even, an allocation which is overall EF and EF1 per round always exists.

Can we additionally have efficiency? Not if $k>2$, but:
For two agents, if $k=2$, we can always find an overall EF and PO allocation that is EF1 per round.

For two agents, if $k$ is even, we can always find an overall EF and PO allocation that is weakly EF1 per round.

## Results: Variable Number of Rounds

What if the number of rounds is not known in advance? Via a connection to the randomised and divisible settings, we show:

For every utility profile, there is some $k$ for which an overall EF and PO allocation that is PROP[1, 1] per round exists.

